UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,031	06/22/2006	Ralph Gronau	AP 10853	6888
	7590 05/11/201 L TEVES, INC.		EXAMINER	
ONE CONTINI	ENTAL DRIVE		SY, MARIANO ONG	
AUBURN HILLLS, MI 48326-1581		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3657	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/584,031	GRONAU ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MARIANO SY	3657		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address		
Period for Reply	N V IO OET TO EVOIDE A N	IONTHYON OR THURTY (20) BAYO		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO oute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3 This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	-		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 12-18 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s)	مريد المريد	Summary (PTO 412)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 		

Application/Control Number: 10/584,031 Page 2

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment filed on February 4, 2010 has been received.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pueschel et al. (US 6,361,126).

Pueschel et al. disclosed, as shown in fig. 1-5, a method for controlling a pressure buildup in an electronically controllable brake system, where the brake system includes a tandem master brake cylinder 16, a vacuum brake booster 14, and at least one additional pressure source (pump) 25, 25' for brake force assistance, which is drivable by a controlling unit and the pressure of which can be applied to wheel brakes 10-13, the method comprising the steps of detecting an approach of an operating point defined by a minimum predetermined ratio between an auxiliary force of the booster and an actuating force, detecting a pressure gradient in the master cylinder and, in the event of a detected approach of the operating unit of the vacuum booster and when a pressure gradient limit value of the detected master cylinder pressure gradient is exceeded, lowering the operating point of the vacuum booster by an operating point-

Application/Control Number: 10/584,031 Page 3

Art Unit: 3657

reduction pressure value when an approach of the operating point of the vacuum booster is detected and the pressure gradient limit value of the established master cylinder pressure gradient is exceeded, and thus establishing a corrected operating point, replacing the operating point, which corrected operating point causes activation of the additional pressure source for the purpose of building up additional brake pressure, see col. 2, lines 45-67, col. 3, lines 1-67, and col. 4, lines 1-27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pueschel et al.

Pueschel et al. was silent to disclose wherein the pressure gradient limit value is in a range from 150 bar/s to 250 bar/s, and wherein the additional pressure source for brake force assistance is activated when the pressure gradient limit value is exceeded for a minimum time period in a range of 10 ms to 100ms.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art of providing the master cylinder with the pressure gradient limit value in a range from 150 bar/s to 250 bar/s and also wherein the additional pressure source for brake force assistance is activated when the pressure gradient limit value is exceeded for a minimum time period in a range of 10 ms to 100ms in order to provide additional brake force depending upon the size and weight of the vehicle.

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pueschel et al. in view of Quirant et al. (US 2004/0251739).

Pueschel et al. failed to disclose wherein the approach of the operating unit of the vacuum booster is detected according to a stored calibrated booster characteristic curve.

Quirant et al. teaches wherein the approach of the operating unit of the vacuum booster is detected according to a stored calibrated booster characteristic curve.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to merely provide the known approach of the operating unit of the vacuum booster is detected according to a

Application/Control Number: 10/584,031 Page 5

Art Unit: 3657

stored calibrated booster characteristic curve into the brake system of Pueschel et al., as taught by Quirant et al., in order to monitor the performance of the vacuum brake booster.

Response to Arguments

- 8. Examiner has considered all arguments in the Remarks but are moot based on new grounds of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARIANO SY whose telephone number is (571)272-7126. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 8:30 A.M. to 2:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi, can be reached on 571-272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/584,031

Page 6

Art Unit: 3657

/MS/

April 27, 2010

/Robert A. Siconolfi/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3657